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Short Editorial related to the article: Relationship of Lung Function and Inspiratory Strength with Exercise Capacity and Prognosis in Heart Failure

Heart failure (HF) is a complex syndrome considered a major public health problem. Different HF subtypes are classically defined based on left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF). Although its prognosis has improved in recent decades – explained, in part, by major therapeutic advances – HF persists with a high burden of mortality and negatively influences the quality of life. In this sense, common symptoms experienced in this disease, such as shortness of breath and exercise intolerance, contribute greatly to this sharp decline in the quality of life of individuals. Another condition, considered an important risk factor, which usually accompanies HF, is pulmonary dysfunction. The respiratory impairments observed in HF may be related to several reasons, such as an impairment of lung mechanics and gas diffusion, as well as respiratory muscle weakness – aggravating increased dyspnea, being a major limitation on physical exercise.

Spirometry is a widely used test that allows the analysis of lung function – by measuring the amount of air inhaled and exhaled to the maximum. Because chronic obstructive pulmonary disease shares similar signs and symptoms with HF, its identification in individuals with HF can be challenging; in this sense, spirometry can help confirm the diagnosis. About evaluating the potential severity of some lung diseases, the exercise test can also be useful, observing a series of parameters, such as the ratio of forced expiratory volume in 1 second/forced vital capacity (FEV1/FVC). The severity of the disease can still be classified based on FEV1, when it is below the lower limit of normal (ranging from mild when ≥70% of predicted to very severe when <35% of predicted). Although HF itself can lead to a decrease in FEV1, the vast majority of the sample (64%) was in class III using the NYHA classification. Ischemic heart disease and Chagas’ disease were the main etiologies observed (39% and 29%, respectively). The patients were relatively well treated, receiving optimal medical therapy (beta-blockers in 90%, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors in 84%, and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in 66%).

This article has several interesting findings worth highlighting. Both FVC and FEV1/FVC did not correlate with a better or worse prognosis during the mean follow-up. On the other hand, after a sensitivity analysis, a low FEV1/FVC was indicated to be a potential risk marker for increased major adverse CV events in the theoretically more severe individuals, i.e., with LVEF <50%. Furthermore, a higher risk of CV events was observed in those with both reduced maximal inspiratory pressure and FEV1/FVC (hazard ratio 1.72; 95% confidence interval, 1.14 to 2.61).

Decades ago, Tockman et al. reported FEV1 as an independent predictor for CV mortality after following a cohort of apparently healthy men. In other observational studies that evaluated the prognosis of maximal inspiratory pressure in patients with HF, Hamazaki et al. reported a lower incidence of clinical events in patients with a wide variety of LVEF (majority in NYHA functional class II) when a higher maximal inspiratory pressure was present, after cardiac rehabilitation sessions and at a mean follow-up of 1.8 years, even after adjustment for confounding factors. Meyer et al. suggested that inspiratory muscle strength could be useful in risk stratification of patients.

Despite interesting findings, which to some extent corroborate previous studies, the study by Ramalho et al. does not allow us to make causal inferences safely due to its observational design. It should be interpreted in light of this and other possible limitations. Although it is well established that HF is commonly characterized by abnormality of...
respiratory muscles, the consequent decline in quality of life, and possibly worse prognosis, it would be premature to definitively conclude a direct association between maximal inspiratory pressure or FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC with increased risk of CV events in this population, regardless of LVEF. Despite these comments, this study provides important information to the literature, and it rekindles the possibility that FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC can be used as a prognostic tool, offering incremental information in the HF scenario, especially in the group of patients considered at higher risk. Still, it would be prudent to state that the relationship between these markers and the prognosis of these individuals remains uncertain.
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