In-Hospital Worsening Heart Failure: Can We Predict It At Admission?
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Short Editorial related to the article: Use of the ADHERE Risk Model as a Predictor of Risk of in-Hospital Worsening Heart Failure in a Cohort

Acute decompensated heart failure (ADHF) is a risk marker in the patient’s heart failure (HF) journey. It may be the initial presentation (de novo HF) or an acute exacerbation of chronic HF. Most patients with ADHF present to the emergency department (ED) with congestion and usually respond well to treatment with diuretics. However, some high-risk patients may have a complicated course during hospitalization, including in-hospital worsening HF (WHF), defined as persistent or worsening signs and symptoms requiring an escalation in therapy.

In the early 2000s, ADHF began to attract the attention of many researchers in the field of HF. In that period, a large registry of patients with ADHF – the ADHERE Registry – was set up in the United States of America. The ADHERE Registry gave enormous contributions to the field of ADHF. They taught us about these patients’ clinical characteristics and outcomes, but more importantly, they created prediction rules for patients admitted with ADHF. They initially reported a regression tree analysis, using three simple variables to predict in-hospital mortality – blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine, and systolic blood pressure. Two years later, they reported the value of B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) in predicting in-hospital mortality. In 2016 they developed and validated a model to predict in-hospital WHF, which included clinical variables (age, heart rate, systolic blood pressure), laboratory tests (BUN, creatinine, serum sodium), biomarkers (BNP and troponin), and the left ventricle ejection fraction (LVEF). Their model had good discrimination, with c-statistics of 0.74 and 0.72 for the derivation and validation cohorts, respectively, as shown in Figure 1. Nevertheless, discrimination was modest in the external validation cohort (ASCEND-HF study) (c-statistic 0.63).

In this Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia issue, Bernardes et al. present a study addressing the issue of predicting in-hospital WHF in a Brazilian population. They applied the ADHERE risk model to 890 patients admitted for ADHF. In-hospital WHF occurred in 40.8% of the entire population. The ADHERE model identified the majority of patients not at risk for WHF. However, the discrimination was modest compared to the original derivation cohort used in the ADHERE Registry, with an area under the curve of 0.66 and sensitivity and specificity of 66.9% and 55.2%, respectively.

In this first study to assess the value of a score to predict in-hospital WHF in Brazil, and we congratulate the authors for bringing this up. Despite the above limitations, the ADHERE score may help physicians identify high-risk patients with ADHF in the ED. However, as the authors pointed out in the article, it should not be used as a single tool to predict in-hospital WHF.
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Risk prediction of in-hospital worsening heart failure (n = 15640 + 8056 patients)

Figure 1 – Summary of the findings of the ADHERE risk model to predict in-hospital WHF in patients admitted with ADHF. The study included 15640 patients in the derivation cohort and 8056 patients in the validation cohort. Nine variables were included in the final model. BP: blood pressure; BUN: blood urea nitrogen; BNP: B-type natriuretic peptide; $E_{\text{avg}}$: statistical index that uses the mean as the measure of central tendency for summarizing the absolute differences in between predicted and observed probabilities; WHF: worsening heart failure; LVEF: left ventricle ejection fraction.
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Well calibrated

E_{\text{avg}}$ - derivation cohort: 0.43
E_{\text{avg}}$ - validation cohort: 0.14

Calibration

HWR in the derivation cohort: 15.4%
HWR in the validation cohort: 15.6%

Strongest predictors

C statistic - derivation cohort: 0.74
C statistic - validation cohort: 0.72


