

Advancing the Use of Direct Oral Anticoagulants in Left Ventricular Thrombus Management

Pedro E. P. Carvalho¹

Center for Coronary Artery Disease - Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation,¹ Minneapolis – USA

Short Editorial related to the article: Direct Oral Anticoagulants versus Vitamin K Antagonists for Left Ventricular Thrombus: A Meta-Analysis with Trial Sequential Analysis

Direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) are preferred over Vitamin K Antagonists (VKAs) in numerous clinical scenarios, such as preventing thromboembolic events in patients with atrial fibrillation and treating venous thromboembolism.¹⁻⁴ In contrast, DOACs are contraindicated in patients with mechanical heart valves, valvular AF due to mitral stenosis, and antiphospholipid syndrome.⁵⁻⁷ In patients with left ventricular thrombus (LVT), however, the efficacy and safety of DOACs remain uncertain.

LVT is a common complication following an acute myocardial infarction (MI). The incidence of LVT post-acute MI has declined due to increased primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and advanced antithrombotic therapies. In the primary PCI era, LVT incidence is up to 6.3% in STEMI patients, rising to 19.2% in those with anterior STEMI and reduced left ventricular ejection fraction.⁸ Data from the SWEDEHEART registry indicated an incidence as high as 38%, suggesting underestimation and the need for follow-up to assess LVT formation in these patients.⁹ In addition, the choice of imaging modality significantly impacts LVT detection, with cardiac magnetic resonance having higher sensitivity compared with echocardiography.¹⁰ Therefore, there is an unmet need for LVT prevention, detection, and treatment.

When present, LVT is associated with a high risk of stroke and systemic embolism, even post anticoagulant treatment. Management is challenging due to a lack of sufficiently powered randomized data addressing this clinical issue. Currently, there is no routine recommendation for LVT prophylaxis post-acute MI. A small RCT demonstrated that low-dose rivaroxaban combined with dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) versus DAPT alone reduced LVT incidence within 30 days without increasing major bleeding.¹¹ The ongoing

APERITIF trial (NCT05077683) aims to enroll over 500 participants to also analyze whether the addition of DOAC to DAPT is safe and effective in preventing LVT.

Data traditionally support the use of VKAs to treat established LVT despite the increasing off-label use of DOACs. Recently, an AHA scientific statement deemed DOACs a reasonable VKA alternative.¹² In this issue of the *Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia*, a meta-analysis comparing VKAs with DOACs in LVT patients is presented.¹³⁻¹⁵ This analysis included four small randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and 29 observational studies, encompassing 4,450 patients. The findings indicate similar thromboembolic event rates between VKAs and DOACs, with rivaroxaban showing a reduction in thromboembolic events compared with VKAs in a subgroup analysis.

This timely meta-analysis supports the use of DOACs in patients with LVT by including an additional randomized study and numerous observational studies not considered in the AHA statement. The authors concluded there was no significant difference in bleeding outcomes between DOACs and VKAs, but a protective effect of DOACs in several bleeding outcomes was observed, suggesting that the lack of statistical significance should not imply a lack of clinical difference.¹³ Especially considering that VKAs are associated with drug and food interactions, unpredictable dose-response, and a narrow therapeutic range, necessitating close monitoring of prothrombin time.¹⁴

Given the observational nature of most included studies, there are concerns about selection bias and confounding, limiting results interpretation. Due to the challenge of enrolling large LVT patient cohorts in RCTs, obtaining randomized data is unlikely soon. This study, however, guides extending DOAC use in this patient population, emphasizing the need for additional evidence to improve clinical outcomes in patients with LVT.¹⁵

Keywords

Factor Xa Inhibitors; Venous Thromboembolism; Myocardial Infarction.

Mailing Address: Pedro E. P. Carvalho •

Center for Coronary Artery Disease, Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation - 920E 28th St #100, Minneapolis, MN 55407

E-mail: pedromanuel.carvalho@gmail.com

Manuscript received June 10, 2024, revised manuscript June 26, 2024, accepted June 26, 2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36660/abc.20240409i>

References

1. Schulman S, Kearon C, Kakkar AK, Mismetti P, Schellong S, Eriksson H, et al. Dabigatran versus Warfarin in the Treatment of Acute Venous Thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361(24):2342-52. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0906598.
2. Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, Eikelboom J, Oldgren J, Parekh A, et al. Dabigatran versus Warfarin in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361(12):1139-51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0905561.
3. Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJ, Lopes RD, Hylek EM, Hanna M, et al. Apixaban versus Warfarin in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(11):981-92. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1107039.
4. Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Braunwald E, Murphy SA, Wiviott SD, Halperin JL, et al. Edoxaban versus Warfarin in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(22):2093-104. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1310907.
5. Connolly SJ, Karthikeyan G, Ntsekhe M, Haileamlak A, El Sayed A, El Ghamrawy A, et al. Rivaroxaban in Rheumatic Heart Disease-Associated Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2022;387(11):978-88. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2209051.
6. Eikelboom JW, Connolly SJ, Brueckmann M, Granger CB, Kappetein AP, Mack MJ, et al. Dabigatran versus Warfarin in Patients with Mechanical Heart Valves. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(13):1206-14. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1300615.
7. Khairani CD, Bejjani A, Piazza G, Jimenez D, Monreal M, Chatterjee S, et al. Direct Oral Anticoagulants vs Vitamin K Antagonists in Patients with Antiphospholipid Syndromes: Meta-Analysis of Randomized Trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2023;81(1):16-30. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2022.10.008.
8. Bulluck H, Chan MHH, Paradies V, Yellon RL, Ho HH, Chan MY, et al. Incidence and Predictors of Left Ventricular Thrombus by Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance in Acute ST-segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction Treated by Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: A Meta-analysis. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2018;20(1):72-82. doi: 10.1186/s12968-018-0494-3.
9. Figtree GA, Vernon ST, Hadziosmanovic N, Sundström J, Alfredsson J, Arnott C, et al. Mortality in STEMI Patients without Standard Modifiable Risk Factors: A Sex-disaggregated Analysis of SWEDEHEART Registry Data. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10279):1085-94. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00272-5.
10. Lanzillo C, Di Roma M, Sciahbasi A, Minati M, Maresca L, Pendenza G, et al. Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Detection of Left Ventricular Thrombus in Acute Myocardial Infarction. *Acute Card Care*. 2013;15(1):11-6. doi: 10.3109/17482941.2012.741248.
11. Zhang Z, Si D, Zhang Q, Jin L, Zheng H, Qu M, et al. Prophylactic Rivaroxaban Therapy for Left Ventricular Thrombus after Anterior ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2022;15(8):861-72. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2022.01.285.
12. Levine GN, McEvoy JW, Fang JC, Ibeh C, McCarthy CP, Misra A, et al. Management of Patients at Risk for and with Left Ventricular Thrombus: A Scientific Statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2022;146(15):205-23. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001092.
13. Greenland S. Randomization, Statistics, and Causal Inference. *Epidemiology*. 1990;1(6):421-9. doi: 10.1097/00001648-199011000-00003.
14. Kumar S, Howell J, Mattock C. Recent Pharmacological Advances for Treating Venous Thromboembolism: Are We Witnessing the Demise of Warfarin? *J R Soc Med*. 2013;106(11):441-6. doi: 10.1177/0141076813498232.
15. Pasqualotto E, Gewehr DM, Ferreira ROM, Chavez MP, Silva CH, Cruz SA, et al. Anticoagulantes Orais Diretos versus Antagonistas da Vitamina K para Trombo Ventricular Esquerdo: Uma Metanálise com Análise Sequencial de Ensaios. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2024;121(7):e20230738. doi: 10.36660/abc.20230738.



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License